

January 1, 1963 Supplement  
to  
COMPARISON OF STATE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE LAWS

NOTE: This supplement is designed to be inserted in the pocket on the inside of the back cover of the Comparison of State Unemployment Insurance Laws as of January 1, 1962.)

To enable users of the Comparison of State Unemployment Insurance Laws as of January 1, 1962 (BES No. U-141) to bring their copies up to date, the changes resulting from legislative enactments in 15 States <sup>1/</sup> during 1962 are described herein. Included also are changes in several States where, in accordance with provisions enacted in previous years, the maximum weekly benefit amount is recomputed periodically. A few changes reflect omissions and errors requiring correction.

The information is grouped, under the appropriate chapter headings, by table number and by page and line of text. In the interest of conciseness, changes in unnumbered summary tables and in the text have not been indicated there they would serve only to change the enumeration of States that fall within specified categories and the appropriate change has already been indicated for inclusion in a table.

In addition, for easier reference to certain information now in the text of the Comparison, there have been added, at the end of the Supplement, tabular summaries of the following provisions:

Taxable wage base (other than \$3,000) for contributions under State law, 9 States

Provisions for periodic computation of "flexible" maximum weekly benefit amount, 10 States

---

<sup>1/</sup> Alaska, Arizona, Delaware, District of Columbia, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, and Virginia; and Maryland, where legislation adopted in 1961 was finally approved by referendum in November 1962.

## I. COVERAGE

### TABLES

Table 1.--Size of firms covered . . . . . No change

Table 2.--Extension of coverage to affiliated units or establishments

Add New Jersey and insert "X" in all three columns of table

Table 3.--State coverage resulting from coverage under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act . . . . . No change

Table 4.--Coverage as determined by employer-employee relationship . . . . . No change

Table 5.--Significant miscellaneous employment exclusions

Col. 2, Real estate agents on commission:

South Carolina - Insert "X"

Col. 4, Part-time service for tax-exempt nonprofit organizations:

Kentucky - Insert "X"

Col. 6, Students working for schools:

Puerto Rico - Insert "X"

Table 6.--Coverage of service for State and local governments

Col. 5, Benefits financed by contributions:

New York - Insert "(3/)"

Col. 6, Benefits financed by reimbursement:

New York - Change "X" to "(3/)"

Footnote 3/: Add at end of footnote the following:

"reimbursement for State and either contributions or reimbursement for local (New York)."

### TEXT

Page 9.--Fourth line of first paragraph under run-in heading, "Agricultural Labor"; add at end of first sentence the following: "and in Puerto Rico where employment in the agricultural phase of the sugar cane industry is covered."

Page 11.--Delete the last two lines in the first paragraph and add the following: "formerly covered under a separate program, is now covered under the Employment Security Act. However, the qualifying requirement and the amount of benefits received by workers in this industry differ from those applicable to other covered workers."

First line of last paragraph; insert after Alaska: "District of Columbia."

Page 12.--Second paragraph; add at end of last sentence the following sentence: "In the District of Columbia, nonprofit organizations which are organized for scientific, literary or educational purposes are covered."

Eighth line of paragraph under run-in heading "Service for Federal Instrumentalities"; insert "except New Jersey" after "States."

## II. FINANCING

### TABLES

Table 7.--Summary of experience-rating provisions

<u>Mississippi</u>	<u>Change from:</u>	<u>To:</u>
Col. 5, Number of schedules of reduced rates:	4	3
Col. 6, Number of reduced rates in best schedule:	6	8
Col. 7, Minimum rate in best schedule:	0.6	0.3
Col. 8, Maximum reduced rate in best schedule:	2.3	2.4
Col. 9, Maximum possible rate:	2.7	3.2
<u>District of Columbia</u>		
Col. 10, Voluntary contributions permitted:	---	X

Table 8.--Computation date, effective date for new rates, and minimum period of experience required under State experience-rating provisions

Col. 1, Computation date:  
Arizona - Delete footnote 2  
 Col. 2, Effective date for new rates:  
Arizona - Delete footnote 2  
 Col. 3, Minimum period of 3 years required for reduced rates:  
Arizona - Delete "X"  
 Col. 4, Reduced rates obtainable with less than 3 years experience:  
Alaska - Add "1/"  
Arizona - Insert "1 year"  
District of Columbia - Insert "(3/)"  
 Footnote 1/: Insert before Connecticut in the third line "Alaska"  
 Footnote 2/: Delete Arizona in last line  
 Footnote 3/: Add at end of footnote the following: "(Colorado); certain nonprofit employers may receive a reduced rate after 1 year (District of Columbia)."

Table 9.--Years of benefits, contributions, and payrolls used in computing rates of employers with at least 3 years of experience by type of experience-rating formula . . . . . No change

Table 10.--Transfer of experience for employer rates . . . . . No change

Table 11.--Employers charged and benefits excluded from charging, 47 States which charge benefits or benefit derivatives

Col. 6, Benefits excluded from charging as a result of voluntary leaving:

Virginia - Insert "3/ X"

Footnote 3/: Add at end of footnote the following: "Charges are omitted for claimants who left work to accept other employment and, when the new employment did not last as long as 30 days, refused to accept an offer to return to the original work (Virginia)."

Table 12.--Fund requirements for any reduction from standard rate and for most favorable schedule

Col. 6, Requirement for most favorable schedule:

Mississippi - Change the percent of payrolls from "8" to "7"

Footnote 9/: In first line, delete 2.5 and insert 2.0.

Table 13.--Fund conditions under which least favorable schedule is applicable, 16 States without provision for suspension of reduced rates . . . . . No change

Table 14.--Contribution rates in effect January 1, 1962, by reserve ratio, 26 States with reserve-ratio formula

Since some of the States included in this table do not compute their 1963 rates until later in the year, only a partial listing of the changes is possible. The following data was compiled on January 15, 1962:

Eleven of the States (California, Colorado, Hawaii, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Ohio, and Tennessee) continued to use the same schedules that were in effect during 1962. In Missouri and Tennessee, however, rates for employers with negative balances may now be as high as 4.2 and 4.0, respectively. In six other States, higher rate schedules are in effect for the current year. These States and the range of rates are as follows: Louisiana (0.9 to 2.7), Maine (1.4 to 2.7), Nevada (uniform 2.7), North Carolina (0.4 to 2.7), South Carolina (0.6 to 2.7), and Wisconsin (0 to 4.0). In Massachusetts, a lower rate schedule (0.9 to 3.7) is effective. Two other States changed the reserve ratio required for each reduced rate--the District of Columbia (reserve ratio required for each rate reduced by 0.1) and Nebraska (reserve ratio increased by 0.5 for each rate).

Table 15.--Contribution rates in least favorable schedule, by reserve ratio, 9 States with reserve-ratio formula and no provision for suspension of reduced rates . . . . . No change

TEXT

Page 18.--Second paragraph, first sentence; insert "See tabular summary at end of January 1, 1963 Supplement."

Page 35.--Noncharging of benefits. Third line of third paragraph; insert "Rhode Island" after "New York."

III. BENEFITS\*

TABLES

Table 16.--Base period and benefit year . . . . . No change

Table 17.--Wage and employment requirements to qualify for benefits

Col. 2, Qualifying wages required:	Change from:	To:
<u>Virginia</u>	30 x wba	38 x wba
Col. 4, Minimum wages required in base period:		
<u>Alabama</u>	\$332.01	\$331.50
<u>Virginia</u>	300.00	450.00

Footnote 2/: Lines 3 and 4; change all after "brackets" to read "and the District of Columbia which provides for a stepdown of 2 brackets; in Puerto Rico which has an unlimited stepdown provision;" . . .

Table 18.--Waiting-period requirements . . . . . No change

Table 19.--Weekly benefits for total unemployment

Col. 3, Minimum weekly benefit:	Change from:	To:
<u>Kentucky</u>	\$11.00	\$12.00
<u>Virginia</u>	10.00	12.00
Col. 4, Maximum weekly benefit:		
<u>Colorado*</u>	2/4/47.00-59.00	2/4/48.00-60.00
<u>District of Columbia</u>	2/5/30.00	2/4/49.00
<u>Idaho*</u>	4/43.00	4/44.00
<u>Kansas*</u>	4/44.00	4/45.00
<u>Kentucky</u>	37.00	40.00
<u>Maryland</u>	35.00-43.00	38.00-46.00
<u>South Carolina*</u>	4/34.00	4/35.00
<u>Utah*</u>	4/43.00	4/45.00
<u>Vermont*</u>	4/40.00	4/41.00
<u>Virginia</u>	32.00	34.00
<u>Wisconsin*</u>	4/50.00	4/52.00
Col. 5, High-quarter wages needed for minimum:		
<u>Virginia</u>	75.00	112.50
Col. 6, Base-period wages needed for minimum:		
<u>Alabama</u>	332.00	331.50
<u>Virginia</u>	300.00	450.00

\* In States noted the change was due to a change in the maximum weekly benefit as computed under a "flexible maximum" provision enacted before 1962; see page 63 of Comparison.

Col. 7, High-quarter wages needed for maximum:	Change from:	To:
<u>Colorado*</u>	\$996.77	\$1,018.44
<u>District of Columbia</u>	667.01	1,104.01
<u>Idaho*</u>	1,092.01	1,118.01
<u>Kansas*</u>	1,075.01	1,100.01
<u>Kentucky</u>	912.51	987.51
<u>Maryland</u>	816.01	888.01
<u>South Carolina*</u>	858.13	884.01
<u>Utah*</u>	1,092.00	1,144.00
<u>Vermont*</u>	1,040.00	1,066.00
<u>Virginia</u>	775.01	825.01

Col. 8, Base-period wages needed for maximum:		
<u>Colorado*</u>	1,410.00	1,444.00
<u>District of Columbia</u>	1,035.00	1,656.02
<u>Idaho*</u>	1,651.00	1,690.00
<u>Kansas*</u>	1,320.00	1,350.00
<u>Kentucky</u>	1,254.70	1,357.83
<u>Maryland</u>	1,260.00	1,368.00
<u>Oregon</u>	1,167.00	(5/)
<u>Vermont*</u>	1,200.00	1,230.00
<u>Virginia</u>	960.00	1,292.00
<u>Wisconsin*</u>	71,764.18	71,836.18

Footnote 4/: In first line, insert "District of Columbia" after "Colorado."  
Footnote 5/: In first line, insert "and Oregon" after "Ohio."

Table 20.--Weekly benefits for partial unemployment

Col. 1, Definition of partial unemployment:

Puerto Rico - Delete "wba plus \$3" and insert "wba plus \$4.50."

Col. 2, Earnings disregarded in computing weekly benefits for partial unemployment:

Puerto Rico - Delete "\$3" and insert "\$4.50."

Table 21.--Types of dependents included under provisions for dependents' allowances

Col. 2, Older child not able to work:

Michigan - Delete "Under 21" and insert "X"

Footnote 1/:

First line - Delete "Maryland and"; also delete "and by regulation in Maryland."

Second line - Insert "Maryland" after "Iowa."

Third line - Delete "by regulation in Maryland and."

Footnote 7/: In third line, insert in parentheses before "Michigan" "Maryland and."

Table 22.--Allowances for dependents

Col. 5, Maximum weekly basic benefit:

	Change from:	To:
<u>District of Columbia</u>	\$30	\$49
<u>Maryland</u>	35	38
<u>Wyoming</u>	44	49

Col. 8, Maximum potential basic benefit:	Change from: -	To:
<u>District of Columbia</u>	\$780	2/\$1,666
<u>Maryland</u>	910	988
<u>Wyoming</u>	1,144	1,274
Col. 9, Maximum potential augmented benefit:		
<u>District of Columbia</u>	2/ 780	2/ 1,666
<u>Maryland</u>	1,118	1,196
<u>Wyoming</u>	1/1,300	1/ 1,430

Table 23.--Duration of benefits in a benefit year

Col. 1, Proportion of base-period wage credits:		
<u>District of Columbia</u> - Delete 1/3 and insert 1/2		
Col. 2, Minimum potential benefits (amount):	Change from:	To:
<u>Kentucky</u>	\$165.00	\$180.00
<u>Virginia</u>	80.00	120.00
Col. 3, Minimum potential benefits (weeks):		
<u>District of Columbia</u>	11+	17+
<u>Virginia</u>	8	10
Col. 4, Maximum potential benefits (amount):		
<u>Maryland</u>	\$910.00-1,118.00	\$988.00-1,196.00
<u>Vermont*</u>	3/1,040.00	3/1,066.00
<u>Colorado*</u>	4/1,527.50-1,534.00	4/1,560.00
<u>District of Columbia</u>	4/ 780.00	4/1,666.00
<u>Idaho*</u>	3/1,118.00	3/1,144.00
<u>Kansas*</u>	1,144.00	1,170.00
<u>Kentucky</u>	962.00	1,040.00
<u>South Carolina*</u>	748.00	770.00
<u>Utah*</u>	1,548.00	1,620.00
<u>Virginia</u>	640.00	816.00
<u>Wisconsin*</u>	1,700.00	1,768.00
Col. 5, Maximum potential benefits (weeks):		
<u>District of Columbia</u>	26	34
<u>Virginia</u>	20	24
Col. 6, High-quarter wage credits required:		
<u>Maryland</u>	\$816.01	\$888.01
<u>Vermont*</u>	1,040.00	1,066.00
<u>Colorado*</u>	996.77	1,018.44
<u>District of Columbia</u>	667.01	1,104.01
<u>Idaho*</u>	1,092.01	1,118.01
<u>Kansas*</u>	1,075.01	1,100.01
<u>Kentucky</u>	912.51	987.51
<u>South Carolina*</u>	858.13	884.01
<u>Utah*</u>	1,092.00	1,144.00
<u>Virginia</u>	775.01	825.01
Col. 7, Base-period wage credits required:		
<u>Maryland</u>	1,260.00	1,368.00
<u>Vermont*</u>	1,200.00	1,230.00
<u>Colorado*</u>	3,055.00	3,120.00

Col. 7, Base-period wage credits required:	Change from:	To:
District of Columbia	\$2,337.01	\$3,330.01
Idaho*	3,913.00	4,004.00
Kansas*	3,429.01	3,507.01
Kentucky	2,884.50	3,118.51
Ohio	(10/)	1,091.01
South Carolina*	2,241.01	2,307.01
Utah*	3,603.60	3,775.20
Virginia	2,496.01	3,196.01
Wisconsin*	7/4,410.45	7/4,590.45

Footnote 4/: In second line, delete "higher amount includes 25 percent additional" and insert "maximum potential benefit amount includes a 25 percent weekly additional allowance."

Table 24.--See page 8.

Table 25.--Summary of benefit provisions

Col. 1, Qualifying wages required:		
Virginia - Delete "30" and insert "38 but not less than \$450"		
Col. 2, Computation of weekly benefit amount:		
District of Columbia - Insert after "1/23" "up to 50 percent of State average weekly wage"		
Maine - Change 1.1 to 1.2		
Col. 3, Minimum weekly benefit amount:	Change from:	To:
Kentucky	\$11.00	\$12.00
Virginia	10.00	12.00
Col. 4, Maximum weekly benefit amount:		
Colorado*	2/47.00-59.00	2/48.00-60.00
District of Columbia	2/30.00	2/49.00
Idaho*	43.00	44.00
Kansas*	44.00	45.00
Kentucky	37.00	40.00
Maryland	35.00-43.00	38.00-46.00
South Carolina*	34.00	35.00
Utah*	43.00	45.00
Vermont*	40.00	41.00
Virginia	32.00	34.00
Wisconsin*	50.00	52.00
Col. 5, Proportion of base-period wages:		
District of Columbia	1/3	1/2
Col. 6, Minimum total benefits:		
District of Columbia	\$92.00	\$138.00
Virginia	80.00	120.00
Col. 7, Minimum weeks of benefits:		
Delaware	4/11+	11+
District of Columbia	11+	17+
Virginia	8	10



Table 24.--Number of States by maximum basic weekly benefits and maximum weeks of benefits for total unemployment

(Substitute entries as follows:)

	\$16	\$20	\$22	\$23	\$24	\$25	\$26	\$27	\$27.50	\$28	\$30	\$31	\$32	\$34	\$35	\$36	\$37	\$37.50	\$38	\$40	\$41	\$42	\$44	\$45	\$48	\$49	\$50	\$52	\$55
52	1	4	4	2	4	5	4	1	1	3	6	1	2	1	4	1	2	3	1	2									
12	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	3	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	36	-	4	2	1	3	3	2	1	1	3	4	1	1	1	3	-	1	3	-	2								
28	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
34	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
36	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
39	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Col. 8, Maximum total benefits:	Change from:	To:
<u>Colorado*</u>	2/\$1,527.50-1,534.00	\$1,560.00
<u>District of Columbia</u>	780.00	1,666.00
<u>Idaho*</u>	5/1,118.00	5/1,144.00
<u>Kansas*</u>	1,144.00	1,170.00
<u>Kentucky</u>	962.00	1,040.00
<u>Maryland</u>	910.00-1,118.00	988.00-1,196.00
<u>South Carolina*</u>	748.00	770.00
<u>Utah*</u>	1,548.00	1,620.00
<u>Vermont*</u>	5/1,040.00	5/1,066.00
<u>Virginia</u>	640.00	816.00
<u>Wisconsin*</u>	1,700.00	1,768.00
Col. 9, Maximum weeks of benefits:		
<u>District of Columbia</u>	26	34
<u>Virginia</u>	20	24

TEXT

Page 55.--(Text table) - Insert "District of Columbia" and "Maryland" in stub and add after each: "10 times weekly benefit amount subsequent to beginning of preceding benefit year."

Page 61.--Last paragraph, line 5 - Change "\$98.01" to "\$102.01."

Page 63.--Second paragraph - Insert "See tabular summary at end of January 1, 1963 Supplement."

IV. ELIGIBILITY FOR BENEFITS AND DISQUALIFICATION FROM BENEFITS

TABLES

Table 26.--Ability to work, availability for work, and seeking work requirements

Col. 1, Available for work:	Change from:	To:
<u>Delaware</u>	X	4/X
Col. 2, Available for suitable work:		
<u>Alaska</u>	3/X	3/4/X
<u>Puerto Rico</u>	X	5/X

Table 27.--Disqualification for voluntary leaving, good cause and disqualification imposed

Col. 2, Benefits postponed for specified number of weeks:
<u>Virginia</u> - Delete "7"
Col. 3, Benefits postponed for variable number of weeks:
<u>Kentucky</u> - Delete "6-16"
<u>Maryland</u> - Add footnote 8/

Col. 4, Benefits postponed for duration of unemployment:

Kentucky - Insert "X"

Maryland - Insert "+10 x wba 8/"

Virginia - Insert "+ 30 days work"

Footnote 8/: Add the following at end of footnote: "(California);  
Director may, at his discretion, impose a disqualification  
for either a variable number of weeks or for the duration  
of the unemployment (Maryland)."

Table 28.--Disqualification for discharge for misconduct

Col. 2, Benefits postponed for variable number of weeks:

Maryland - Add "7/"

Virginia - Delete "7-11"

Col. 3, Benefits postponed for duration of unemployment:

Maryland - Insert "10 x wba 7/"

Virginia - Insert "+30 days work"

Footnote 7/: Add the following at end of footnote: "(California);  
Director may, at his discretion, impose a disqualification  
for either a variable number of weeks or for the duration  
of the unemployment (Maryland)."

Table 29.--Disqualification for refusal of suitable work

Col. 2, Benefits postponed for variable number of weeks:

Maryland - Add "6/"

Virginia - Delete "7-11 2/"

Col. 3, Benefits postponed for duration of unemployment:

Maryland - Insert "+10 x wba 6/"

Virginia - Insert "+30 days work"

Footnote 2/: In fourth line, delete "weeks following disqualification  
for voluntary leaving or misconduct, if any (Virginia)."

Footnote 6/: In first line, insert after "(California)" "Director may,  
at his discretion, impose a disqualification for either a  
variable number of weeks or for the duration of the unem-  
ployment (Maryland)."

Table 30.--Disqualification for unemployment due to labor dispute

Col. 1, Disqualification during stoppage of work due to dispute:

Ohio - Delete "X"

Col. 3, Duration of disqualification, other:

Ohio - Insert "1/X"

Col. 6, Disputes excluded if due to a lockout:

California - Insert "8/X"

Colorado - Insert "8/X"

Utah - Insert "8/X"

Col. 7, Individuals excluded if neither they nor any of same grade or  
class are participating in labor dispute:

Ohio - Delete "X"

Col. 9, Individuals excluded if neither they nor any of same grade or class are directly interested in dispute:

Ohio - Delete "X"

Add footnote 8/: "By judicial construction of implied statutory language."

Table 31.--Special availability and disqualification provisions for pregnancy and marital obligations

Col. 1, Unemployment due to pregnancy:

Maryland - Change first "2" to "4"

Table 32.--Penalties for fraudulent misrepresentation: Fine or imprisonment or both in amounts and periods specified . . . . . No change

Table 33.--Special provisions for disqualification for fraudulent misrepresentation to obtain benefits

Col. 1, Duration of disqualification:

Arizona - Change "12" to "13"

Mississippi - Change "W + 52 1/" to "W + up to 52 weeks"

Virginia - Change "current benefit year" to "1 year after offense"

Col. 2, Benefits reduced or canceled:

Virginia - Delete "base-period wage credits canceled" and insert "(4/)"

Footnote 1/: In third line, delete "Mississippi"

Footnote 2/: In first line, change "12" to "13"

Table 34.--Effect of weekly benefits on receipt by claimants of various types of disqualifying income

Stub: Insert "District of Columbia," "Maryland," and "Mississippi."

Col. 2, Old-age insurance benefits:

Maryland - Insert "4/ R"

Col. 3, Employers' pension plans:

District of Columbia - Insert "2/ R"

Maryland - Insert "4/ R"

Mississippi - Insert "2/ R"

Virginia - Insert "2/ R"

Col. 4, Wages in lieu of notice:

Maryland - Insert "R"

Mississippi - Insert "R"

Col. 5, Dismissal payments:

Maryland - Insert "R"

Mississippi - Insert "R"

Footnote 2/: In third line, insert "Virginia" after "Michigan"; in fourth line, insert "District of Columbia" before "Louisiana" and "Mississippi" after "Louisiana."

Footnote 4/: Add the following at end of footnote: "100 percent if plan is wholly financed by the employer, 50 percent if plan is partially financed by the employer, and 50 percent if claimant is receiving old-age insurance benefits (Maryland)."

TEXT

Page 83.--In fourth paragraph, line 4, insert "Delaware" after "California."  
Add the following at end of fourth paragraph: "Alaska provides that an otherwise eligible individual shall not be denied benefits because he is attending a training or retraining course with the approval of the employment security agency, or because, while attending the course, he is not available for work or refuses an offer of work. Puerto Rico permits the payment of benefits to claimants undergoing vocational training or retraining with the approval of the Director."

Page 84.--In first paragraph, first line, delete "under 21 years of age."

Page 105.--In first paragraph, line 3, delete "In Michigan, for . . ."; delete all of lines 4 and 5.

Page 113.--In last paragraph, line 4, delete "Maine and"; in line 8, insert the following sentence before "In": "In Maine supplementation is permitted as a result of a Superior Court decision."

V. ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION

TABLES

Table 35.--Organization of State employment security agency . . . No change

Table 36.--State and local advisory councils . . . . . No change

Table 37.--Constitution of initial and final appeals authorities. No change

TEXT - No change.

VI. TEMPORARY DISABILITY INSURANCE COORDINATED WITH UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

Table 38.--Statutory provisions of benefit formula, 4 temporary disability insurance laws

Weekly benefit amount:

California - In first line, change "\$70" to "\$75"

Rhode Island - In first line, change "\$36" to "\$42" and "50 percent" to "55 percent"

Duration:

California - In third line, change "\$1,820" to "\$1,950"

Rhode Island - In first line, change "\$936" to "\$1,092"

TEXT

Page 130.--Under side heading "Coverage," in first line, delete "and Rhode Island"; in line 6, delete "and" after California and insert "and Rhode Island" after New York.

Page 131.--Add the following at end of first paragraph: "In Rhode Island, elected full-time town highway surveyors may elect coverage under the disability law."

Page 137.--In fifth line under run-in heading "Weekly benefit amount and duration of benefits," change "16" to "18."

VII. UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE BASED ON SERVICE FOR THE UNITED STATES

No change.

VIII. TEMPORARY EXTENDED UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

No change.

\* \* \* \*

Taxable wage base (other than \$3,000) for contributions under State law, effective from beginning of calendar years indicated:

	<u>Wage base</u>	<u>Year</u>
Alaska	\$7,200	1/1960
California	3,800	2/1962
Delaware	3,600	1955
Hawaii	3,600	1962
Massachusetts	3,600	1962
Nevada	3,600	1954
Oregon	3/3,600	1956
Rhode Island	3,600	1956
West Virginia	3,600	1962

1/ Previously: \$4,200 from beginning of 1957; \$3,600 from 1955.

2/ Previously: \$3,600 from beginning of 1960.

3/ May be increased to \$3,800 when fund reserve ratio is less than 6 percent.

"Flexible" maximum weekly benefit provisions, basis and frequency of computation, and effective date of new amounts, 10 States <sup>1/</sup>

State	Maximum weekly benefit defined as specified percent of average weekly wage in:	Frequency of computation	Effective date of new amounts
<u>Covered employment in preceding calendar year</u>			
Idaho	<u>2/</u> 52½	Annual	First Sunday in July
Mississippi	<u>2/</u> 55	Annual	July 1
South Carolina	50	Annual	July 1
Utah	50	Annual	First Sunday in July
Vermont	50	Annual	First Sunday in July
Wyoming	55	Annual	July 1
<u>Covered employment in 12 months ending June 30</u>			
District of Columbia	50	Annual	January 1
Kansas	50	Annual	January 1
<u>Other bases for computation</u>			
Wisconsin	Covered employment in 12 months ending 6 months before effective date - 52½ percent	Semi-annual	January 1 and July 1
Colorado	Selected industries in State, as published by BIS, weighted by volume of covered employment in each industry on basis of most recent available figures - 50 percent	In June and December	January 1 and July 1

<sup>1/</sup> For maximum amounts in effect on January 1, 1963, see changes for Table 19, on pages 4 and 5.

<sup>2/</sup> But not less than \$40 (Idaho); not more than \$30 (Mississippi).